vert County, passed the 14th of May, 1850, the administrator was directed to discontinue proceedings to collect the claims then remaining uncollected, except three small claims, specified in the order.

In a memorandum at the foot of the account A, the balance ascertained by it to be due from the administrator, is shown to consist—

OHOID	,										
1st.	Of de	bts r	eceiv	ed :	from tl	ne Coll	ector	still	un-		
	col	lected	١,		•	•				\$883	96
2d.	Balan	ce du	e on	$th\epsilon$	mort	gage of	f the	admir	nis-		
	tra	tor, l	eari	ng	interes	st from	ı the	10th	of		
	Oc	tober,	185	51,	•	•				722	28
3d.	Cash,				•	•	•	•		252	99
									-		
									- \$	1.859	23

And it is objected, in the sixth exception of the defendant, that the Auditor should not, in his account, have treated these uncollected debts as cash in the hands of the administrator, for which he can be made at this time liable. Seeing, as I have already stated, that the administrator has used due diligence in settling the estate, it certainly would be wrong now to make him responsible for these unrealized claims as cash, and, judging from the memorandum, I am persuaded the auditor did not propose to do so.

There is one point, however, in which the report is not entirely satisfactory. Of the balance stated in account A, to be due from the administrator to the estate of his intestate, the sum of \$883 96, as shown by the memorandum, consists of uncollected debts received by the administrator from the collector. The Orphans Court, by their order of the 15th of May, 1850, directed the administrator not to prosecute further the uncollected claims, except those of inconsiderable amount, because they were by the Court regarded as desperate. Now it does not appear by anything on the face of the Auditor's report, or either of the accounts, whether any, and if any, what proportion of this \$883 96 consists of claims which the Orphans Court have considered as desperate, and I shall send